Rec'd PCT/PTO 2 6 APR 2005

ATENT COOPERATION TREAT

PCT

REC'D.	Ū	4	FEB	2005 .
WIPO		<u>-</u>		PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

.

ternational application No. CT/IN 03/00339 Itemational Patent Classification (IPC) or to G09C1/00	International filing date (day/monthlyear) 20.10.2003 oth national classification and IPC	Priority date (day/month/year) 26.10.2002	
CT/IN 03/00339 Itemational Patent Classification (IPC) or t		20.10.2002	
	oth national classification and IPC		
pplicant THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR (IP	R), DEFENCE et al.	1	
This international preliminary example. Authority and is transmitted to the	amination report has been prepared by the applicant according to Article 36.	his International Preliminary Examining	
	of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the de be basis for this report and/or sheets conta on 607 of the Administrative Instructions	escription, claims and/or drawings which have aining rectifications made before this Authority under the PCT).	
These annexes consist of a total			
This report contains indications	relating to the following items:		
j ⊠ Basis of the opinion			
11 🖂 Priority			
III 🛛 Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability	
IV D Lack of unity of invention		•	
V M Descend statemen	- Duly according to poverty inventive step or industrial applicability;		
VI ☐ Certain documents cited VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application			
VIII Certain observation	s on the international application		
Date of submission of the demand	Date of compl	letion of this report	
Date of Subinipation of the demand			
22.05.2004	03.02.2005	5	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	tional Authorized Of	HICEI	
Name and mailing address of the interna	Cretaine, F	3.00	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IN 03/00339

1	Rasis	of the	report
l.	Dasis	OI HIL	. op

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Desc	ription, Pages				
	1-18		as originally filed			
	Olois	ns, Numbers				
		ns, Numbers	as originally filed			
	1-11		as originally mos			
	Drav	vings, Sheets				
	1/7-7	717	as originally filed			
With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authori language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which i						
			nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of public	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a train Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 8).			
3.	 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: 					
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.			
			e international application in computer readable form.			
		and the state of the installed form				
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		in the international a	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.				
4	4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IN 03/00339

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, s been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			ed (nule 70.2(C)).				
		(Any replacement sheet contain report.)	ning su	ch amendme	ents must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Ad	ditional observations, if necessar	у:				
111	. No	on-establishment of opinion wi	th rega	rd to novelt	y, inventive step and industrial applicability		
1.		questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ous), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international applicat	ion,				
	Ø	claims Nos. 11					
		because:	-				
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so uncleat that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
 the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful of could be formed. no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 11 				ly supported by the description that no meaningful opinion			
				ed for the said claims Nos. 11			
2	 A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions: 						
		☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
	☐ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.						
•	V. F	Reasoned statement under Arti Sitations and explanations supp	cle 35(corting	2) with rega such state	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ment		
	1. 8	Statement					
	١	Novelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10		
	ì	nventive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10		
	1	industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10		
	2. (Citations and explanations					

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1 = MENEZES, VANSTONE et al.: "The State of Elliptic Curve Cryptography". Design, Codes and Cryptography, vol.19, pages 173-193, March 2000, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston.

D2 = WO-A-94 15423

The document D1 was not cited in the international search report.

Independent claim 1: 1.

D1 discloses, according to the essential features of claim 1, a method of elliptic curve encryption ("Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems") comprising the steps of:

- selecting an elliptic curve $E_p(a,b)$ of the form $y^2=x^3+ax+b$ mod(p) wherein a and b are non-negative integers less than p satisfying the formula $4a^3 + 27b^2 \mod(p)$ not equal to 0 (D1, page 174, lines 36-41);
- generating a point G(x,y) on the elliptic curve Ep(a,b) ("Q", D1, page 177, lines 15-17)
- generating a private key n_A ("k_A", D1, page 177, lines 24-30)
- generating a public key $P_A(x,y)$ given by the formula $P_A(x,y) = (n_A.G(x,y)) \mod(p)$ (" $k_A.Q$ ", D1, pages 177, lines 27-28)
- encrypting the input message MSG (D1, page 177, line 27-28)
- decrypting the ciphered text (D1, page 177, lines 28-29).

The differences between the subject-matter of claim 1 and the disclosure of D1 are the steps of obtaining G(x,y) from a point B(x,y) on the elliptic curve. These steps are:

- generating a large 160 bits random number by a method of concatenation of a number of smaller random numbers
- converting said large random number into a series of powers of 231
- converting each coefficient of 231 obtained into a binary series

International application No. PCT/IN 03/00339

- multiplying of binary series obtained with the point B(x,y).

The objective problem solved by these differences is to optimise the processing time for scalar multiplication of a point B(x,y) with a large number. This problem is a well-known one in the field of elliptic curves mathematics and computing algorithms (see for instance D2, page 5, lines 11-17).

The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

- concatenating small numbers to generate a larger number is an obvious step for a person skilled in computing algorithms
- representing said large number by a decomposition using as base the number 2³¹ lies within the general design competence of the same skilled person desiring to use the capability of a 32-bit computer
- representing each coefficient (which are per se less than 2³¹) by a decomposition using as base the number 2 also lies within the general design competence of the same skilled person
- multiplication of binary numbers with a point of an elliptic curve is standard practice in the field of elliptic curve mathematics (see also D1, page 183, lines 11-17).

Therefore claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

2. Dependent claims:

The additional features introduced by dependent claims 2-10 relate to details of implementation of the basic features specified by the independent claim 1 to which they are appended. All these features appear to be either basically known or readily derivable from documents D1-D2 or to be common measures in the field of elliptic curve mathematics.

Therefore claims 2-10 do not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

Furthermore some of these features (in dependent claims 3-6, 8-9) are formulated in such a way that they may fall under the category of program features, as opposed to method features. In particular formulations like "going to next if true", "returning M as result if



International application No. PCT/IN 03/00339

false", "setting I = I+1", "returning to step iii", render said claim unclear with respect to the category (Article 6 PCT).